

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 236632

62  
ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 PM-04 SSO-00 SS-15 INR-07 INRE-00

EB-07 OES-06 /055 R

DRAFTED BY L/EUR:KSGUDGEON:RM  
APPROVED BY EUR/CAN:DBLAKEMORE  
EUR/CAN:KKJONIETZ  
ARMY:COL.CREEL

----- 071031

O 232132Z SEP 76  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA IMMEDIATE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 236632

STADIS//////////

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: SENV, CA

SUBJECT: IJC REPORT ON FURTHER REGULATION OF THE GREAT LAKES

1. EMBASSY IS REQUESTED TO DELIVER, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE,  
THE TEXT GIVEN BELOW OF A DRAFT LETTER TO THE IJC TO  
SEAN BRADY IN EXTERNAL AFFAIRS. PLEASE POINT OUT TO BRADY  
THAT THIS TEXT HAS NOT YET BEEN FULLY CLEARED WITHIN THE  
USG BUT IS BEING FORWARDED PURSUANT TO THE AGREEMENT  
REACHED AT THE NEGOTIATIONS IN WASHINGTON ON SEPTEMBER 21.

2. BEGIN TEXT: DEAR MR. BULLARD: THE GOVERNMENTS OF  
CANADA AND THE US HAVE BEGUN CONSULTATIONS REGARDING THE  
COMMISSION'S REPORT ENTITLED "FURTHER REGULATION OF THE  
GREAT LAKES," WHICH COMPLETES THE WORK OF THE COMMISSION  
PURSUANT TO THE REFERENCE FROM GOVERNMENTS DATED  
OCTOBER 7, 1964. WE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPOR-

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 236632

TUNITY TO EXPRESS OUR APPRECIATION FOR THIS EXCELLENT

REPORT, WHICH WILL FORM THE BASIS OF FURTHER DELIBERATIONS  
WITHIN AND BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS. WHILE ADDITIONAL  
PREPARATIONS WILL PRECEDE THE FORMAL RESPONSE OF THE  
GOVERNMENTS TO THE REPORT, AND IN PARTICULAR ITS  
VARIOUS DECLARATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, AS A PRELIMINARY  
MATTER BOTH GOVERNMENTS HAVE DECIDED TO REQUEST THE

COMMISSION TO CLARIFY THE BASIS AND STATUS OF CERTAIN OF  
THE DECLARATIONS WHICH APPEAR AT PP 63-64 OF THE REPORT.

THESE NOVEL DECLARATIONS, SEPARATE FROM THE RECOMMENDATIONS  
CONTAINED IN CHAPTER XI OF THE REPORT, RAISE A NUMBER OF  
PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIVE QUESTIONS. MOREOVER, IN PART,  
THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES COULD ENTAIL ADDITIONAL EXPENSES  
TO BE BORNE BY THE TWO GOVERNMENTS WHICH, AS THE COM-  
MISSION WILL APPRECIATE, MUST BE ADEQUATELY JUSTIFIED AND  
PROVIDED FOR IN FUTURE BUDGETING. WE WOULD APPRECIATE  
THE COMMISSION PROVIDING GREATER ELABORATION OF THESE  
PROPOSALS IN LIGHT OF THE SPECIFIC COMMENTS BELOW, AND RE-  
QUEST THAT THE COMMISSION REFRAIN FROM PROCEEDING WITH  
ANY OF THE PROPOSED DECLARATIONS PENDING THE FURTHER  
RESPONSE OF GOVERNMENTS

WITH REGARD TO THE DECLARATIONS NUMBERED ONE AND TWO, THE  
GOVERNMENTS REMAIN SUPPORTIVE OF THE OBJECTIVE OF CON-  
TINUED REGULATION OF LAKE SUPERIOR CONSISTENT WITH THE  
1973 SPECIAL INTERIM REPORT, GOVERNMENT RESPONSES TO WHICH  
WILL BE SUBSUMED IN THE FORTHCOMING REPLIES TO THE CURRENT  
REPORT. IN THIS REGARD, THE GOVERNMENTS BELIEVE IT WOULD  
ASSIST IN CLARIFYING THE PRACTICE OF THE COMMISSION IF THE  
COMMISSION WOULD PROVIDE FOR THE RECORD ITS VIEWS ON THE  
JURISDICTIONAL BASIS OF THESE DECLARATIONS IN LIGHT OF  
ITS 1973 SPECIAL INTERIM REPORT, THE EXISTING ORDERS OF  
APPROVAL GOVERNING THE ST. MARY'S RIVER CONTROL WORKS,  
AND THE APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF THE BOUNDARY WATERS  
TREATY OF 1909. FURTHER, THE GOVERNMENTS WOULD FIND  
HELPFUL A FULLER INDICATION OF THE PROCEDURES CONTEM-  
PLATED BY THE INTERNATIONAOL JOINT COMMISSION IN PRO-  
CEEDING TO AN AMENDMENT OF THE EXISTING ORDERS, AND THE  
REGULATORY CRITERIA AND PLAN OR PLANS WHICH MAY BE CON-  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 236632

SIDERED. THE COMMISSION WILL APPRECIATE THAT THE  
SELECTION OF A PLAN WILL BEAR UPON NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS  
TO THE CONTROL WORKS AND ATTENDANT EXPENSES.

WITH RESPECT TO DECLARATION NUMBER THREE, THE GOVERNMENTS  
WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION OF CERTAIN POINTS BEFORE  
ASSESSING THE EFFICACY OF CREATING A NEW BOARD MECHANISM  
OF THE NATURE SUGGESTED BY THE COMMISSION. THE REGULATORY

PURPOSE TO BE SERVED BY THE PROPOSED BOARD IS UNCLEAR AT THIS TIME; MOREOVER, THE GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE BOARD INDICATED IN THE REPORT APPEAR TO BE ANALOGOUS TO THOSE WHICH THE GOVERNMENTS ARE CONSIDERING IN CONNECTION WITH A NEW REFERENCE OR REFERENCES TO THE COMMISSION. IN VIEW OF THIS, THE EXPENSES WHICH MIGHT BE INCURRED BY GOVERNMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE BOARD PROPOSED IN

DECLARATION THREE WOULD APPEAR TO BE POTENTIALLY REDUNDANT AND UNNECESSARY. THE GOVERNMENTS THEREFORE BELIEVE THAT ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS OF THIS NATURE SHOULD AWAIT THE DEVELOPMENT OF A FOLLOW-ON REFERENCE. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN DEVELOPING SUCH A REFERENCE IF THE COMMISSION WOULD EXPAND UPON THE PURPOSES FORESEEN FOR SUCH A BOARD IN THE FRAMING OF THIS DECLARATION.

BOTH GOVERNMENTS STRONGLY ENDORSE EFFORTS TO FURTHER RE-FINE LAKE ONTARIO REGULATION PLANS AS DESCRIBED IN THE FOURTH DECLARATION, AND ANTICIPATE THAT THE CANADA-QUEBEC STUDY ON THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER THEREIN REFERRED TO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON OR ABOUT (BLANK).

FINALLY, IN CONSIDERING EXAMINATION OF FURTHER MEASURES WHICH MIGHT ALLEVIATE THE EXTREME RANGES OF STAGE EXPERIENCED ON THE GREAT LAKES, THE TWO GOVERNMENTS ARE ACUTELY CONCERNED WITH ENSURING ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE REPRESENTATION OF THE GREAT LAKES BASIN STATES AND PROVINCES, AS WELL AS PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN FOLLOW-ON ACTIVITY REFERRED TO THE COMMISSION. WE ANTICIPATE MAKING SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION IN THIS REGARD. AS A PRELIMINARY OBSERVATION, THE GOVERNMENTS BELIEVE AN EXPERIMENTAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL, AS SUGGESTED IN DECLARATION NUMBER FIVE, MIGHT BE MOST SIGNIFICANT IF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 236632

ATTACHED TO AN "UMBRELLA" LEVELS BOARD RATHER THAN A SPECIFIC BOARD OF CONTROL. SUCH A BROADER FRAMEWORK WOULD ENHANCE OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND WOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH THE REALIZATION OF SYSTEMIC REGULATION OF THE LAKES WHICH HAS NOW BECOME AN ACCEPTED OBJECTIVE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE BOUNDARY. AS SUGGESTED IN THE COMMENTS ON DECLARATION NUMBER THREE ABOVE, IN THE VIEW OF GOVERNMENTS ORGANIZATIONAL QUESTIONS OF THIS NATURE SHOULD BEST BE DEFERRED UNTIL SUCH TIME AS GOVERNMENTS HAVE RESPONDED FULLY TO THE REPORT.

IN LIGHT OF THE INTENTION OF GOVERNMENTS TO PREPARE RESPONSES TO THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION IN THE SHORTEST PRACTICABLE TIME, THE COMMISSION'S EARLY REPLY TO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTED.

AN IDENTICAL LETTER IS BEING SENT TO THE SECRETARY OF THE  
CANADIAN SECTION OF THE COMMISSION BY THE CANADA DEPART-  
MENT OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS. END TEXT. ROBINSON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** WATER POLLUTION, POLLUTION CONTROL, TEXT, GREAT LAKES, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 23 SEP 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976STATE236632  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** KSGUDGEON:RM  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760360-0156  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760926/aaaaavxu.tel  
**Line Count:** 173  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN L  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** STADIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** STADIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 13 APR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <13 APR 2004 by greeneet>; APPROVED <14 APR 2004 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** IJC REPORT ON FURTHER REGULATION OF THE GREAT LAKES  
**TAGS:** SENV, CA, US, IJC, (BULLARD)  
**To:** OTTAWA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006